

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF NEW YORK

-----	X	
	:	Index No. 766,000/2007
IN RE: NEW YORK RENU WITH MOISTURELOC	:	
PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	
	:	
-----	X	
THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO ALL CASES	:	
-----	X	

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR  
THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
CHARLESTON DIVISION

-----	X	
	:	MDL No.: 1785
IN RE: BAUSCH & LOMB CONTACT LENS	:	C/A No. 2:06-MN-77777-DCN
SOLUTION PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION	:	
	:	
-----	X	
THIS DOCUMENT APPLIES TO ALL CASES	:	
-----	X	

**JOINT ORDER APPOINTING SPECIAL MASTER**

The Hon. Helen E. Freedman, the presiding judge in the New York Coordinated ReNu with MoistureLoc Product Liability Litigation and the Hon. David C. Norton, presiding judge in Multidistrict Litigation No. 1785 held a joint conference with lead plaintiffs’ and defendant’s counsel in the ReNu with MoistureLoc Litigation on October 18, 2007. At the conference, the parties and the Courts addressed the adoption of uniform rules to govern redaction of documents produced in discovery as well as claims of privilege with respect to documents sought to be obtained in discovery by plaintiffs. It is hereby ORDERED as follows:

The respective Courts appoint Fordham University School of Law Professor Daniel Capra, Esq. as Special Discovery Master/Referee (“Special Master”) in the New York coordinated ReNu with MoistureLoc Product Liability Litigation and in Multidistrict Litigation No. 1785.

Judge Norton hereby appoints Professor Capra as the Special Master pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 53. That rule permits a federal court to appoint a Special Master to “perform duties consented to by the parties” or “to address pretrial and post-trial matters that cannot be addressed effectively and timely by an available district judge or magistrate judge of the district.” Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(a)(1)(A); 53(a)(1)(C).

Justice Freedman hereby appoints Professor Capra as the Special Master pursuant to CPLR 3104. That rule allows a New York court to appoint a Referee to oversee disputes relating to discovery issues. *See* CPLR 3104(a).<sup>1</sup> The parties have consented to Professor Capra’s appointment as the Special Master.

**I. Duties of the Special Master**

Pursuant to the Order of the Courts, Special Master Capra shall review privilege logs, privilege redaction logs, redaction logs and any documents identified to him by plaintiffs to the extent necessary to test and determine the propriety of redactions and to fairly resolve Bausch & Lomb Inc.’s privilege claims or for otherwise withholding and/or redacting such documents. Special Master Capra shall also review any challenges to the designation of documents as confidential.

The Special Master shall supervise document discovery with respect to claims of confidentiality, privilege, and the redaction of documents, and when necessary, make recommended rulings for the Courts’ consideration on disputes that may arise in connection with redaction/privilege issues.

---

<sup>1</sup> Professor Capra will perform the same functions in both the MDL and New York proceedings.

## **II. Document Review Process**

Defendant Bausch & Lomb, Inc. will provide any assistance required and/or requested by the Special Master to facilitate the review process including producing and arranging documents in a manner that will ease the burden of review. During the course of the review process the Special Master and his designee(s) shall have access to all materials to which Bausch & Lomb, Inc. has asserted privilege claims as well as all documents and information redacted or withheld for any other reason. .

## **III. Ex Parte Communications**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(b)(2)(B) directs the Courts to set forth the circumstances in which the Special Master may communicate *ex parte* with the Courts or a party.<sup>2</sup> The Special Master may communicate *ex parte* with the Courts, without notice to the parties, regarding logistics, the nature of his activities, management of the litigation, and other appropriate procedural matters. The Special Master may not communicate *ex parte* with any party or counsel without first providing opposing Liaison Counsel notice and an opportunity to be heard. Such notice shall indicate the general nature of the proposed communication.

## **IV. In Camera Review**

The Special Master shall review all documents and information which is in dispute *in camera*. Counsel for the Parties shall designate an attorney or attorneys to be available to the Special Master to answer any questions that the Special Master may have regarding these documents. For purposes of this review effort, all such persons shall be deemed officers of the Court, such that their access will not give rise to a waiver of any privilege or confidentiality claims.

---

<sup>2</sup> CPLR 3104 does not specifically address *ex parte* communications, but the parties have agreed that the provisions stated herein shall apply in the New York proceeding.

Because this process is necessarily *ex parte*, all oral communications shall be recorded by a court reporter. The parties shall share the expense of this recording equally, and all transcripts shall be filed under seal. If requested by the Special Master or the Courts, the parties may submit written briefing to supplement the *in camera* review process. All such written submissions shall be filed under seal.

**V. Review of Special Master's Findings/Recommendations**

Any party objecting to a ruling by the Special Master must notify the Special Master and all other interested parties of its intention to raise an objection (by facsimile or electronic mail) within three (3) business days after receiving the Special Master's written recommendation. Thereafter, said objection must be raised with the Courts within twenty (20) days of the receipt (by facsimile or electronic mail) of the Special Master's written recommendation. If notification of a party's intention to challenge the Special Master's written recommendation is not given within three (3) business days, the Courts may adopt the recommended ruling as its order on the disputed issue. The Courts shall review all objections to any finding, report, or recommendation of the Special Master *de novo*, as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(g).<sup>3</sup> The Courts will set aside the Special Master's rulings on procedural matters only for abuse of discretion.

**VI. Compensation of Special Master**

The parties have agreed to compensate the Special Master at a rate of \$500 per hour. The Special Master shall incur only such fees and expenses as may be reasonably necessary to fulfill his duties under this Order or such other Orders as the Courts may issue.

---

<sup>3</sup> CPLR 3104 does not specify that review shall be undertaken *de novo*, but the parties have agreed that review in the New York proceeding shall be *de novo*.

Within fourteen (14) days of this Order, the parties shall establish an initial operating account of \$15,000. Plaintiffs and Bausch & Lomb, Inc. shall share this cost equally, with Plaintiffs' share being borne half by the New York Plaintiffs and half by the MDL Plaintiffs. The Courts will not order any additional payments until the retainer is fully earned.

The Special Master in this proceeding shall maintain normal billing records of time spent on this matter with reasonably detailed descriptions of his activities. Upon the Courts' request, the Special Master shall submit a written formal report of his activities for filing in these coordinated proceedings.

**VII. Term of Appointment**


The appointment of Daniel Capra, Esq. shall extend from the date of this order through October 31, 2008. The reappointment of the Special Master and the allocation of his fees among plaintiffs and defendant shall hereafter be reviewed and the subject of a subsequent order of these Courts.

**VIII. Affidavit**

Fed. R. Civ. P. 53(b)(3) requires a Special Master to submit an affidavit "disclosing any ground for disqualification under 28 U.S.C. § 455" before appointment. A copy of the Special Master's affidavit is attached to this Order. The Special Master and the parties shall notify the Courts immediately if they become aware of potential grounds for disqualification.

---

Hon. Helen E. Freedman  
Supreme Court of the State of New York  
County of New York



---

Hon. David C. Norton  
United States District Judge  
District of South Carolina

November 26, 2007

# FORDHAM

University

School of Law

Lincoln Center, 140 West 62nd Street, New York, NY 10023-7485

Daniel J. Capra  
Philip Reed Professor of Law

Phone: 212-636-6855  
e-mail: dcapra@law.fordham.edu  
Fax: 212-636-6899

Chief Judge David Norton  
United States District Court  
District of South Carolina

October 24, 2007

Re: Bausch & Lomb, et.al., ReNu matter.

Dear Chief Judge Norton,

I have reviewed 28 U.S.C. § 455, and what follows is my financial disclosure for purposes of appointment as Special Master in the above-referenced matter:


1. I have no disclosures to be made under subdivision (b) of the statute. Specifically, I have no personal bias as to any party involved in this matter, and no direct financial interest in any shares of stock in any company involved in this matter.

2. All of my investments (as well as those of my wife), are in CD's, mutual funds and money market accounts. Those funds are: a) TIAA-CREF; b) Smith Barney Select Mutual Fund; and c) Citibank Mutual Funds and Money Market Accounts.

3. My daughter and son both have Treasury Bills in their custodial accounts; they also have bonds issued by the New York Dormitory Authority. Under subsection (d)(4) of the statute, these are not financial interests that could be grounds for disqualification. But I am disclosing them anyway.

\* The above constitutes the entirety of my investments to the best of my knowledge.

Very truly yours,

  
Daniel J. Capra  
Reed Professor of Law